STATE ÖF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page	1	of	3	
raue	- 1	OI	J	

Command: West Valley	Division: So. Division	Chapter:	
Inspected by: Sergeant R. G	ibson	Date: 09/01/2009	

Page 1 of 3	The second second second			
number of the inspection in the Chapte shall be routed to and its due date. The	r Inspecti is docum	ion number. Under "For ent shall be utilized to d	ward to:" enter the ocument innovative	or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter e next level of command where the document re practices, suggestions for statewide ay be used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level X Command Executive Office Level	Level	Total hours expend inspection:	ed on the	Corrective Action Plan Included X Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:		ard to: So. Division Date: 01/11/2010		
Chapter Inspection:		ries Rocker 1864 - Paper		
Inspector's Comments Rega	rding I	nnovative Practice	es:	
is then forwarded to the area	overtin Inder fo	ne coordinator for or final review and	a separate re signature. T	y Attendance Report (M.A.R.), which eview. The reviewed M.A.R., is then this review process allows for the
Command Suggestions for S	Statewi	de Improvement:		
None.				
Inspector's Findings:				
Upon completion of the Comr discrepancies with policy or d			t and Comma	and Overtime inspections, no
Commander's Response: X	Concu	ır or 🗌 Do Not Co	ncur (Do Not C	oncur shall document basis for response)
None required.				

Memorandum

Date:

January 15, 2009

To:

All Southern Division Areas

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Southern Division

File No.:

501.10377.14930

Subject:

MANDATORY 2009 COMMAND INSPECTIONS

The following is a schedule of the formal and informal inspections for Southern Division selected for 2009:

Quarter	<u>Chapter</u>	Type of Inspection
1 st 2 nd 3 rd 4 th	HPG 22.1 Chp. 3 AI, Enforcement, and Services Command Reimbursable Services Inspection Command Recruitment and Application Process Command Overtime and Grant Management	Informal Formal Informal

Once each assigned chapter inspection has been completed, reports detailing the Area's findings shall be forwarded to Southern Division NLT the 10th of the month following the specified quarter. If corrective action is needed, a follow-up memorandum is also required within 30 days.

Each Area Commander shall contact their respective Sector Chief and schedule a formal Chapter 10, Uniform and Equipment, inspection sometime within the year. Once scheduled, please notify Sergeant Brent Pembleton in the Staff Services Unit of the agreed upon inspection date. Additionally, each commander will be notified several days in advance of the Area's assigned Chapter 17, Officer Safety, formal inspection date.

Questions regarding the Chapter Inspections should be directed to the appropriate Sector Chief.

W. A. STANLEY, Chief

Page

1 of 2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6
Command Overtime

Command: West Valley	Division: Southern Division	Number: 580	
Evaluated by: Sergeant R. Gibson		Date: 09/01/2009	
Assisted by: Officer Van Klaveren		Date: 09/01/2009	

applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.						
		1177				
TYPE O	F INSPECTION		Lead Inspe	ctor's Signatu	re:	7
☐ Div	ision Level	☑ Command Level		TOS	- 15	<i>-</i>
	cutive Office Level	□ Voluntary Self-Inspection	/ 7	1011		Date: /
Fo	llow-up Required: ☐ Yes	☐ Follow-up Inspection	Commande	fley A	120	ad 9/22/09
For or		r to HPM 11.1, Chapter 6,		-		
HPM 40.71, Chapters 2, 8, and 10, HPM 10.5, Chapter 2, and HPM 10.3, Chapters 24 and 28.						
Note:	fa "No" or "N/A" box is	checked, the "Remarks" section	shall be ut	ilized for ex	cplanation	
1.	Is the hiring company/ overtime being held re minimum of four hours	agency for reimbursable sponsible for paying a	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Indian Springs Homeowners Association has contracted with CHP and is paying the required 4 hour minimum.
2.	Is a minimum of four h to each CHP uniforme notification is made 24 scheduled detail and the	ours overtime being allocated demployee(s) if cancellation hours or less prior to the he assigned CHP uniformed a notified of such cancellation?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Each uniformed employee is allocated the 4 hour minimum or \$50.00 short notice cancellation as per the M.O.U.
3.	Are reimbursable spec	sial project codes being used ated with reimbursable special	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: The Area Overtime Coordinator reviews all employee's 415's to verify the correct special project code was entered.
4.	overtime hours are not	uring nonuniformed personnel treflected on the Report of simbursable Special Projects?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The commander signs each FLSA Report of Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects.
5.	overtime is not being of than Bargaining Unit 7 compensated time off regular work shift time	for hours worked during their ?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: The Area sergeants verify that non-reimbursable overtime is not claimed while an employee is on vacation before electronically approving the 415 on the CARS program.
6.		in the "Notes" section of the Record, for overtime worked on	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Supplemental 415's with overtime worked were randomly selected and inspected. When applicable "RDO" was written

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy,

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Overtime

7.	Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance - Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant when overtime is associated for civil court?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: The Subpoena Clerk diligently logs each subpoena and confirms a CHP 90 was completed.
8.	Do the CHP 415s with overtime indicate the employee's lunch period or indicate "None" if the employee worked through their lunch break?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The CARS program requires the lunch period information to be entered onto the employee's 415.
9.	Did the supervisor sign the CHP 415s approving the overtime?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: The CARS program requires a supervisor's signature on all 415's prior to submission through MIS
10.	Are claimed overtime meals related to overtime worked within 50 miles of the employee's area?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
11.	If overtime is incurred by a peer support counselor, is the name of the employee to whom support was provided excluded from the CHP 415 of the counselor?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: No peer support counselors were provided at the area level and therefore no overtime was incurred as a result.
12.	Is the "Notes" section on side two of the CHP 415 used to explain any overtime listed on side one of the CHP 415?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: All 415's reviewed had the appropriate explanation for overtime in the notes section.
13.	Are employee's Compensated Time Off hours maintained within reasonable balances?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Managers and supervisors review employee CTO balances each month.
14.	Is the commander ensuring employees are not incurring overtime due to working over the allotted number of hours for any given Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) period?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Managers review and sign all monthly schedules verifying all employees are working the allotted number of hours within the FLSA period.
15.	Is the commander ensuring uniformed employees are not working voluntary overtime which results in them working more than 16.5 hours in a 24 hour period?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The area Timekeeper notifies a supervisor/ manager if a uniformed employee works more than 16.5 hours in a 24 hour period. Supervisors also verify hours during the 415 review in CARS program.
16.	Do the CHP 415 total overtime hours agree with the Monthly Attendance Report (MAR)?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: The area Timekeeper prepares and reviews the M.A.R., which is again reviewed by the area Overtime Coordinator.
17.	Are the MARs retained for at least three years and contain the commander's signature?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: After the commander signs the M.A.R., it is retained in the area clerical staff office file cabinet for at least 3 years.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL REIMBURSABLE LETTER OF AGREEMENT

KEIMBOLOWE		I EN OF	MOKEEMEN
CHP 465 (Rev. 9-08)	OPI 071		

of High	AGREEMENT, Reimbursable Sei August , 2009 , by and way Patrol, hereinafter called CHP indian Springs Estates Homeowners A	between the State of C , and <u>Indian Sp</u>	alifornia,	acting by and throug	e and entered into this <u>1st</u> da the Department of California ciation , hereinafter called
	IESSETH: By and in consideration in the second second in the second seco				ving terms and conditions:
1.	When traffic control se	Traffi vide uniformed personne	ic enforce I with mo	ment otorcycles and/or pat	
2.	The term of this agreement will be		10/30/2		4-00-00
3.	CHP Coordinator shall be				(818) 888-0980
4.	In the event of a disaster or unfo				
5.	This agreement may be amende				
6.	The hours and miles indicated in charged. This includes travel be				al time and vehicle mileage will be elocation.
7.	or statute. In the event of a rate increased rates.	equipment, salaries and increase, Indian S	l benefits Springs Es	s are governed by co states Homeowners As	llective bargaining agreement and/ sociation agrees to pay the
8.	In consideration for the above se Indian Springs Estates Homeon services are provided. Rates chat the actual costs to the CHP to per only:	wners Association ag arged to Indian Spr	grees to r ings Estat	eimburse CHP for thes Homeowners Associates	ne actual costs incurred at the time ciation shall in no event exceed
	Sergeant:	hrs.	@	\$ 102.04	_ \$
	Officer:	48hrs.	@	\$ 83.98	\$ 4,031.04
	Vehicle mileage:	720miles	@	\$ 0.70	\$ 504.00
	Motorcycle mileage:	0 miles	@	\$ 0.96	\$
	Other expenses:	i n and the second seco			\$
	TOTAL ESTIMATED CO: CHP 78, Contract Request,				\$ <u>4,535.04</u>
9.	Payment/Deposit/Purchase Orde	r shall be required befor	e service	es can be performed	
	a. Amount of Deposit co	bllected: \$ 4,535.04			
	b. Check Number/Purch	nase Order Number: #1	1205		
	c. Cash Receipt Numbe	r: N/A	197		

10.	Indian Springs Estates Homeowners Association services provided, may be assessed for CHP surepaired or replaced at CHP's expense.		ditional charges, which are directly related to the oment utilized, damage to uniforms, or property
11.	If the CHP uniformed employee has reported to Indian Springs Estates Homeowners Associati four (4) hours overtime. Exception: This does not shift.	on agrees to pay e	and has worked less than four (4) hours, every assigned uniform employee a minimum of when the hours worked are part of an extended
12.	Indian Springs Estates Homeowners Association prior to the scheduled assignment.	on will not be char	ged for cancellations made more than 24 hours
13.		iniformed employee(s) o	ancellation is made within 24 hours prior to the cannot be notified of such cancellation, a minimum employee.
14.	Indian Springs Estates Homeowners Associations Scheduled assignment and the CHP employee Indian Springs Estates Homeowners Association assigned CHP uniformed employee.	is notified of such cand	ancellation is made within 24 hours prior to the cellation,arged a short notice cancellation fee of \$50.00 per
15.	All cancellation notices to CHP must be made of through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Cancel Area office.	during normal CHP busir ellation notices shall onl	ness hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday y be accepted by the appropriate CHP Division or
16.	CHP agrees to make reasonable efforts to notif	y those CHP uniformed	employees of the cancellation.
17.	No additional gifts, donations, or gratuities may or on behalf of the Department, informal squad	be accepted by the Cal fund or other local funds	ifornia Highway Patrol employees on their behalf s.
18.	A county, city, district, or other local public body ordinance of the local governing body which by agreement.	must provide the state law has authority to ent	with a copy of a resolution, order, motion, or ter into an agreement, authorizing execution of the
Dep	ATE OF CALIFORNIA partment of California Highway Patrol T. Rick Gibson 07/21/2009		REQUESTOR'S NAME Requestor's NAME Requestor's NAME 7/22/04
I.D.	Goodwin do Name		Richard Mertz Printed Name
Con Title	nmander		Treasurer Title
80 ocati	ion Code		Address
	For use by City/County Clerk		City State Zip Code
	ward as lo form by	3	Telephone Number

This agreement under \$50,000 is exempt from Department of General Services' approval in accordance with the State Administrative Manual.

Page

1 of 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Grant Management

Command: Division: West Valley Southern Division		Number: 580	
Evaluated by: Sergeant R. G	Date: 09/01/2009		
Assisted by: Officer C. Van Klaveren		Date: 09/01/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.						
TYPE OF INSPECTION Lead Inspector's Signature:						
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level		1	26			
☐ Executive Office Level ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection		ur/		- UT		
Follow-up Required: ☐ Follow-up Inspection ☐ Yes	Command	er's Signature.	Ma	Date: 9/22/09		
For applicable policy, refer to: GO 40.6						
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section	shall be u	tilized for ex	planation			
1. If the commander became aware that another agency or organization is proposing or has submitted a grant application to a funding agency other than the Office of Traffic Safety (OTS) that appears to focus on traffic safety goals clearly within the jurisdiction of the Department, did the commander notify the appropriate assistant commissioner?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Commander is not aware of another agency proposing a grant application for traffic safety goals within CHP jurisdiction.		
2. Has OTS grant funding, through the Highway Safety Plan, been sought for traffic safety-related activities for the purpose of conducting inventories, need and engineering studies, system development or program implementations?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The area submitted for grant funding for Operation Safe Canyons.		
3. Has the command sought grant funding to assist with the expenses associated with the priority programs identified by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Grant funding sought for motorcycle safety and enforcement.		
4. Has the commander ensured grant funds are not being reallocated to fund other programs or used for non-reimbursable overtime expenditures?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The grant coordinator and overtime coordinator work closely to ensure grant funds are not reallocated.		
Are concept papers regarding grant funding submitted through channels to Grants Management Unit (GMU)?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: An example is attached as Exhibit A and B.		
Was GMU contacted to determine the current personnel billing rates used for grant projects when preparing concept paper budgets?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The current rate		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Grant Management

I liver management					
7.	acceptance (of the work, goods, or services provided by the state on behalf of a local government agency as required by 23 Code of Federal Regulations Part 1250) being submitted to OTS for all grant projects coded as "for local benefit"?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
8.	Were all copies of the grant project agreements, revisions, and claim invoices signed by the Project Director, or designated alternate?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
9.	Were all inquiries or correspondence concerning the availability of grant funds or other contacts with grant funding agencies coordinated/processed through GMU?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Grant inquiries were processed through O'phelia Torpey at GMU.
	Are all expenditures of grant funds approved by GMU prior to entering into any obligations, with the exception of personnel costs?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
11.	Are quarterly progress reports forwarded though channels to GMU in accordance with the instructions contained in the associated project MOU?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Reports are prepared and forwarded monthly.
12.	Are all requirements of the grant agreement and MOU being met?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13.	Is a final project report being prepared in accordance with the funding agency and departmental requirements upon the termination of the grant project?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14.	Does every invoice associated with a grant funded project contain the project number and name?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15.	Are all purchases of grant-funded equipment acquired under an OTS grant exceeding a unit cost of \$5,000 being documented on an Equipment Report, Form OTS-25?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: As a result of the US 101 Corridor grant, new office equipment provided was fisted on an Equipment Report, Form OTS-25.
,	Has grant funded equipment been inspected to ensure it is being utilized in accordance with the respective grant agreement?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
17.	Are applications for federal funds in accordance with Government Code Section 13326 including obtaining approval from the Department of Finance and/or the Governor's office prior to submission to the appropriate federal authority? This would include any of the following: Applications for federal funds which are not included in the budget approved by the Governor. Applications for federal funds which exceed the amount specified in the budget.	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Grant Management

1	8. Is a federal Standard Form 424, Application for Federal Assistance, filed with the State Clearinghouse for all approved unbudgeted grant requests received by the Department of Finance?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Has any request for unanticipated federal funds met the criteria for legislative notification set forth in Control Section 28.00 of the annual Budget Act?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	O. Are grant funds being used for their intended purpose?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Grant coordinator and overtime coordinator work closely to verify funds are used for intended purposes.
	 Are grant applications related to the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) being routed through the Commercial Vehicle Section before they are submitted to the funding agency? 	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Grant applications are not related to the MCSAP.
2	2. Are grant applications related to the Homeland Security Grant Program being routed through the Emergency Operations Section before they are submitted to the funding agency?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Grant applications are not related to the Homeland Security Grant program.
Ques	tions 23 through 26 pertain to the Grants Managemer	t Unit			
2	3. Has GMU prepared an annual Management Memorandum to be disseminated to all commanders soliciting participation in the Department's Highway Safety Program?	☐ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
2	4. Did GMU send the concept paper as an attachment to a memorandum through the Planning and Analysis Division to Assistant Commissioner, Field, and Assistant Commissioner, Staff, and their Executive Assistants?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
2	5. Did GMU route copies of the Draft Grant Agreement using the CHP Form 60, Staff Summary Statement, to all commands with responsibility for or that have an interest in the project?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
2	6. Was a Memorandum of Understanding between involved commands outlining the responsibilities of each command prepared and distributed by GMU?	☐ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

State of California

EXHIBIT F Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

Memorandum

Date:

August 29, 2005

To:

Southern Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

West Valley Area

File No.:

580.10929

Subject:

GRANT CONCEPT PAPER

Attached is a grant concept paper and supporting information submitted by the West Valley Area requesting consideration for an *Operation Safe Canyons* grant. The grant will assist Area in providing an enhanced level of traffic safety on the various unincorporated roads traversing the popular Santa Monica Mountains region.

C. S. KLEIN, Captain Area Commander

Attachments

TRAFFIC SAFETY GRANT CONCEPT

PROJECT TITLE: Operation Safe Canyons (OSC). **AGENCY**: California Highway Patrol.

PROBLEM STATEMENT: While the number of vehicles and miles being driven each year continues to increase on California roadways, California has enjoyed an exceptionally low Mileage Death Rate (MDR) – the primary statistical indicator of relative roadway safety. In 2004, California experienced a slight decrease in the MDR, however, motorcycle fatalities continue to be on the rise statewide.

The West Valley Area is responsible for providing traffic enforcement on the various unincorporated canyon roads traversing the Santa Monica Mountains region. The region is continuing to experience heavy recreational use and growing residential development. Racing vehicles, exhibition of speed, reckless driving and other unsafe driving practices have been a concern for many years. In the past, the issue was generally confined to motorcycles, however, in recent years; the area is heavily used by car clubs as well.

In order to enhance traffic safety and related quality-of-life issues in the region, West Valley Area initiated "Operation Safe Canyons." in 2005. Although the program is not currently supported by a dedicated grant, the results have been encouraging and have received strong political and community support. (I have attached a copy of the original press release for your reference.) It is clear that the ability to deploy supplemental patrol resources and Special Enforcement Units in the region have had an extremely positive effect on traffic safety. With additional funding, the West Valley Area will be able to significantly impact traffic safety and related quality-of-life issues in the region.

The following statistical information was obtained via the West Valley Area's A.I.S. system to provide a collision analysis of the unincorporated canyon roads:

TYPE OF COLLISIONS	2002	2003	2004	3 YEAR AVERAG	E
Injuries	153	176	169	166	
Fatals	5	9	3	6	
Total	343	400	386	376	

PERFORMANCE MEASURES: Goal(s)

- 1. To reduce the number of fatal collisions on unincorporated canyon roads within the West Valley Area as compared to the prior three-year average.
- 2. To reduce the number of injury collisions on unincorporated canyon roads within the West Valley Area by five percent when compared to the prior three-year average.

- 3. To conduct saturated and special enforcement operations targeting any vehicle(s) engaged in speed contest, exhibition of speed, or any other dangerous driving practice.
- 4. To continue to work with the community, elected representatives and other public safety partners in formulating practical solutions and providing a high level of traffic safety education. In addition, continue to work with Los Angeles County Public Works in identifying related engineering improvements (e.g., additional safety signage).

OBJECTIVES

- 1. To distribute press material throughout West Valley's Area as soon as available and no later than September 30, 2008
- 2. To educate and make motorists aware of road sharing and rules of the road.

PROPOSED SOLUTION:

This project will provide Area with the necessary resources to target concentrated enforcement on selected sites/roadways with a history and above-average number of collisions related to speed contest, exhibition of speed, reckless driving and other unsafe driving practices. The project will include funding for the deployment of officers on overtime, support staff, equipment, and the distribution of print and promotional materials to educate the motoring public.

PROPOSED BEGINNING DATE: October 1, 2006 - PROPOSED ENDING DATE: September 30, 2008

PROPOSED BUDGET

Budget Category	Federal Fiscal Year 1 10-01-06 to 09-30-07	Federal Fiscal Year 2 10-01-07 to 09/30/08	Total
Personnel Overtime (01/01/07 to 12/31/07)			
Officers – 1,300 hours 432 hours @ \$51.33 (01/01/07 to 06/30/07) 436 hours @ \$51.33 (07/01/07 to 09/30/07) 432 hours @ \$51.33 (10/01/07 to 12/31/07) \$22,174.56	\$22,379.88	\$22,174.56	\$22,174.56 \$22,379.88
Sergeants – 132 hours 44 hours @ \$62.31 (01/01/07 to 06/30/07) 44 hours @ \$62.31 (07/01/07 to 09/30/07) 44 hours @ \$62.31 (10/01/07 to 12/31/07)	\$2,741.64 \$2,741.64	\$2,741.64	\$2,741.64 \$2,741.64 \$2,741.64
Projected Court Overtime (01/01/07 to 12/3 Officer – 65 hours @ \$51.33	1/07)		\$3,336.45

PROJECT TOTALS	\$57,016.44	\$28,405.56	\$90,555.00
Print and promotional items	\$5,000		\$5,000.00
Other Direct Costs			
1 Radar Trailer	\$12,000.00		\$12,000.00
<u>Equipment</u>			
Clerical (01/01/07 to 12/21/07) 65 hours @ \$26.99			\$1,754.35
Public Affairs Duties (01/01/07 to 12/00) Officer – 65 hours 65 hours @ \$51.33	31/07)		\$3,336.45

BUDGET NARRATIVE

PERSONNEL:

This project will include officer overtime for enforcement, court appearances, and public affairs duties and clerical support. Sergeant overtime will be used for supervision.

TRAVEL: No travel expenses are expected, therefore not requested.

CONTRACTUAL SERVICES: No funding is requested for this category.

NON-EXPENDABLE PROPERTY: No funding is provided for this category.

EQUIPMENT:

Area is requesting one (1) radar trailer to be deployed in designated locations in response to traffic complaints and other community concerns

OTHER DIRECT OR INDIRECT COSTS:

Printed and promotional materials will be distributed during community events, public education presentations and key locations throughout the region.

Attachments:

- 1. Operation Safe Canyons press release
- 2. ABC News video coverage 04/17/05